
	AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND COMMUNICATION FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE UNS	Document Number	
		Semester	Odd/Even
	Document Level	Year	2021/2022
		Page	1/2
Course Content	: Entrepreneurship	Recognition Program	
Day/Date	:	Test Conditions :	

CLO

CLO	Encouraging appearance entrepreneurial spirit and mentality with material in class and doing practice real business group.
-----	--

PLO

PLO 1	Being committed to ethics and professional responsibility, having high integrity, is democratic, ethical and able to work together and willing and being able to learn throughout life.
PLO 2	Mastering knowledge and technology in agriculture in general (technical, economic, and social), and being able to formulate procedural and comprehensive solutions to agricultural development problems.

	AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND COMMUNICATION FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE UNS	Document Number	
		Semester	Odd/Even
	Document Level	Year	2021/2022
		Page	1/2
Course Content	: Entrepreneurship	Recognition Program	
Day/Date	: Monday, 25 April 2022	Test Conditions :	

Title Program : The development of Local Wisdom-Based Organic Farming Potency in Gentungan Village, Mojogedang Sub District, Karanganyar Regency

Scheme : MBKM Village Building Project

Student's Name : M.Ivan Rizki

NIM : H0420054

Course : Entrepreneurship

Course Supervisor : Widiyanto, S.P., M.Si, Ph.D

Entrepreneurship Exam: Interview to Explore MBKM Program

CLO 1 : Push appearance entrepreneurial spirit and mentality with material in class and doing practice real business group.

Questions:

1. What businesses do you and MBKM team of Gentungan Village develop to support organic rice farming business in farmer group?
2. Why is Urban Local Wisdom Festival chosen to be a strategy to introduce organic rice product?
3. Why does the team develop rice diversification into "rasgatu" chips? Is there market demand, market chance, or merely rice product diversification?
4. What is the procedure taken in starting organic rice-based business in Gentungan Village?
5. How is the marketing mix map of organic rice farming business developed?
6. After the business has run (how long has the business run?), how is the business' development? How is the evaluation?
7. What lesson do you get from the development of organic rice?
8. What strategy do you recommend to make the business sustainable?

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC OF MBKM PROPOSAL

Title of Program : The Development of Local Wisdom-based Organic Farming Potency in Gentungan Village, Mojogedang Sub District, Karanganyar Regency

Scheme : MBKM of Village Building Project

Name of Team Leader : M.Ivan Rizki

No	Aspect Evaluation	(85-100)	(75-84)	(61-74)	(0-60)
1	Content : 1. Completeness of proposal content 2. Topic and problem selection 3. Solution and activity form selection 4. Compatibility of activity form to course recognition 5. Prediction of competency gain	Level accuracy 85-100% (content is complete and obvious entirely, activity form conforms to the recommended recognition)	Level accuracy 75-84% (content is complete and obvious but activity form still needs adjustment)	Level accuracy 61-74% (content is fairly complete and activity form needs improvement conforming to the recommended recognition)	Level accuracy 60% (content is fairly complete, but activity form needs redesign and adjustment with the recommended recognition)
2	Supporting aspect: 1. Proposal writing tidiness 2. Complete Administration	Tidy writing, complying with the required format, complete	Tidy writing, complying with the required format, fairly complete	Fairly tidy writing, complying with the format, fairly complete	Less tidy writing, not complying with the format, less complete
	Amount score total (Tk)				

Field Supervisor

Leader of Recognition Team

(Dr. Emi Widiyanti, S.P., M,Si)

(Eksa Rusdiyana, S.P., M.Sc)

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC OF MBKM REPORT

Title of Program : The Development of Local Wisdom-based Organic Farming Potency in Gentungan Village, Mojogedang Sub District, Karanganyar Regency

Scheme : MBKM of Village Building Project

Name of Team Leader : M.Ivan Rizki

No	Aspect Evaluation	(85-100)	(75-84)	(61-74)	(0-60)
1	Content : 1. Complete report content 2. Program Implementation 3. Compatibility of activity forms to course recognition 4. Competency gain with course recognition	Level accuracy answer 85-100% (Report is complete and clear; the form and quality of activity conforms to the recommended recognition)	Level accuracy answer 75-84% (Report is complete and clear; the quality of activity conforms to the recommended recognition; but duration and gain still need improvement)	Level accuracy answer 61-74% (Report is fairly complete; the form of activity is still less compatible to the recommended recognition in the terms of duration and quality)	Level accuracy answer 60% (Report is fairly complete; the compatibility of form of activity to the recommended recognition cannot be achieved entirely)
2	Supporting aspect: 1. Report writing tidiness 2. Complete administration and supporting attachment	Tidy writing, complying with format, complete attachment	Tidy writing, complying with format, fairly complete	Fairly tidy writing, complying with format, fairly complete	Less tidy writing, not complying with format, less complete
	Total score amount (Tk)				

Field Supervisor

Leader of Recognition Team

(Dr. Emi Widiyanti, S.P., M,Si)

(Eksa Rusdiyana, S.P., M.Sc)

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC of MBKM LOGBOOK

Title of Program : The Development of Local Wisdom-based Organic Farming Potency in Gentungan Village, Mojogedang Sub District, Karanganyar Regency

Scheme : MBKM of Village Building Project

Name of Team Leader : M. Ivan Rizki

No	Aspect Evaluation	(85-100)	(75-84)	(61-74)	(0-60)
1	Complete per day/per activity, detailed, and explaining individual activity and conforming to job division, appropriate duration, including activities relating to the program	Complete, detailed, clear job division, relating to the form of activity, duration achieved	Complete, fairly detailed, clear job division, relating to the form of activity, duration needs improvement	Fairly complete, fairly detailed, fairly clear job division, fairly relating to the form of activity, inadequate duration	Less complete, less detailed, unclear job division, fairly relating to the form of activity, still inadequate duration
	Total score amount (Tk)				

Field Supervisor

Leader of Recognition Team

(Dr. Emi Widiyanti, S.P., M.Si)

(Eksa Rusdiyana, S.P., M.Sc)

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC OF COMPETENCY ACHIEVEMENT (INTERVIEW)

Title of Program : The Development of Local Wisdom-based Organic Farming Potency in Gentungan Village, Mojogedang Sub District, Karanganyar Regency

Scheme : MBKM of Village Building Project

Student's Name : M. Ivan Rizki

Students' Number : H0420054

Recognition Course : Entrepreneurship

No	Aspect Evaluation	(85-100)	(75-84)	(61-74)	(0-60)
1	Student is able to explain the field activities smoothly and to connect the field activities to the competency activities to be obtained from the (recognition) course	Level accuracy answer 85-100%	Level accuracy answer 75-84%	Level accuracy answer 61-74%	Level accuracy answer 60%
		(100)	(75)	(60)	(55)
2	Honesty in answer about	Fraud Frequency is 0 time (News event)	Fraud Frequency is 1 time (News event)	Fraud Frequency is 2 times (News event)	Cheating Frequency is more than 2 times (News event)
	Amount score total (Tk)				

Course Supervisor

(Widiyanto, S.P., M.Si, Ph.D)

Final Score Rubric of MBKM of Village Building Project

Student's Name : M. Ivan Rizki
Student's Number : H0420054
Title of MBKM : The Development of Local Wisdom-based Organic Farming Potency in Gentungan Village, Mojogedang Sub District, Karanganyar Regency
Scheme of MBKM : Village Building Project
Course Recognition : **Entrepreneurship**

Score Component	Output Score	Estimated Score
Proposal (15%)		
Logbook (15%)		
Report (30%)		
Competency (40%)		
Final Score		

Course Supervisor of Entrepreneurship

(Widiyanto, S.P., M.Si, Ph.D)

Field Supervisor

(Dr. Emi Widiyanti, S.P., M.Si)

Leader of Recognition Team

(Eksa Rusdiyana, S.P., M.Sc)

Score Scale

Score Range (Scale 100)	Number	Alphabet
≥ 85	4.00	A
80 – 84	3.70	A-
75 – 79	3.30	B+
70 – 74	3.00	B
65 – 69	2.70	C+
60 – 64	2.00	C
55 – 59	1.00	D
< 55	0.00	E